

the brethren inside the supreme court

The Brethren Inside the Supreme Court: Understanding the Justices' Dynamics and Influence

the brethren inside the supreme court represent more than just a collective of high-ranking judges; they are a unique fraternity whose decisions ripple through the fabric of American society. The term “brethren” conjures an image of close-knit colleagues, bound not only by their prestigious roles but also by shared responsibilities and intricate interpersonal dynamics. Exploring who these brethren are, how they interact, and the impact they wield offers fascinating insights into the highest court in the United States.

Who Are the Brethren Inside the Supreme Court?

At its core, the Supreme Court is composed of nine justices: one Chief Justice and eight Associate Justices. These individuals are appointed for life, a structure designed to insulate them from political pressures and ensure judicial independence. The “brethren” collectively embody the judicial authority of the nation, tasked with interpreting the Constitution and reviewing laws passed by Congress.

The Role and Selection of Supreme Court Justices

Justices are nominated by the President and must be confirmed by the Senate, a process that can be highly political given the Court's profound influence on social, economic, and political matters. Once appointed, these justices form the brethren inside the Supreme Court, collaborating yet often differing sharply in their legal philosophies.

Their primary role is to resolve complex legal questions, particularly those involving constitutional law. Through landmark rulings, the brethren shape everything from civil rights and healthcare to environmental policies and election laws.

The Interpersonal Dynamics Among the Brethren

While the Supreme Court might seem like a place of solemn formality, the relationships among the brethren inside the Supreme Court are nuanced and multi-faceted. These justices work in close quarters, often engaging in rigorous debate and negotiation to reach majority opinions.

Collegiality and Collaboration

Despite ideological differences, the brethren often exhibit a surprising degree of collegiality. This comradeship is crucial because the Court's legitimacy depends on presenting a unified voice, even when opinions diverge. Justices hold private conferences where they discuss cases candidly,

sometimes changing their views based on persuasive arguments from colleagues.

The culture of respect and decorum helps maintain a balance between robust debate and professional harmony. This dynamic is essential for producing well-reasoned opinions that command public trust.

Ideological Divides and Factions

However, like any group of individuals with strong convictions, the brethren inside the Supreme Court can form ideological factions. Typically, these divide along conservative and liberal lines, influencing how justices interpret the Constitution—whether through originalism, textualism, or a more progressive lens.

These ideological differences often manifest in dissenting opinions, which provide alternative legal reasoning and serve as important records for future cases. The tension between factions fuels the Court's evolving jurisprudence and reflects broader societal debates.

The Influence of the Brethren on American Law and Society

The decisions made by the brethren inside the Supreme Court have far-reaching consequences. Their rulings can uphold or overturn laws, affect civil liberties, and set legal precedents that influence millions of lives. Understanding their influence helps demystify how the judiciary shapes public policy.

Landmark Cases and Their Impact

Throughout history, the Court's brethren have handed down landmark rulings that define the nation's trajectory:

- **Brown v. Board of Education (1954)** - This unanimous decision declared racial segregation in public schools unconstitutional, advancing civil rights.
- **Roe v. Wade (1973)** - A pivotal case that recognized a woman's constitutional right to an abortion, sparking decades of political and legal debate.
- **Obergefell v. Hodges (2015)** - Legalized same-sex marriage nationwide, reflecting evolving social attitudes.

These cases illustrate how the brethren's interpretations of law can both protect and challenge societal norms.

The Court's Role in Checks and Balances

The Supreme Court is a critical part of the United States' system of checks and balances. The brethren inside the Supreme Court ensure that neither the legislative nor the executive branches exceed their constitutional authority. By reviewing laws and executive actions, they uphold the rule of law and maintain the balance of power.

Challenges and Criticism Facing the Brethren

With great power comes intense scrutiny. The brethren inside the Supreme Court face ongoing challenges related to perceptions of bias, politicization, and transparency.

Perceived Partisanship and Public Trust

In recent years, accusations of partisanship have grown louder, fueled by contentious confirmation battles and highly polarized rulings. Critics argue that justices sometimes act as political actors rather than impartial arbiters, which can erode public confidence in the judiciary.

Maintaining the Court's credibility requires the brethren to navigate these pressures carefully, balancing their judicial philosophies with the imperative to be seen as fair and independent.

The Debate Over Court Reforms

Discussions about reforming the Supreme Court often center on the brethren's composition and power. Proposals such as expanding the number of justices ("court packing"), imposing term limits, or increasing transparency in decision-making aim to address concerns about accountability and representation.

These debates underscore the delicate position the brethren hold—as guardians of constitutional law and subjects of public debate.

Insights into the Lives and Workings of the Brethren

Beyond their official duties, the brethren inside the Supreme Court lead lives marked by intellectual rigor and dedication. Their work involves not just hearing oral arguments but also extensive research, drafting opinions, and engaging with clerks who assist in legal analysis.

The Role of Law Clerks and Research

Each justice is supported by a team of law clerks—usually recent law school graduates—who help

sift through thousands of petitions, prepare summaries, and draft initial opinions. This collaborative effort is vital to managing the Court's heavy workload and ensuring thorough consideration of cases.

How Justices Influence Each Other

While independence is a cornerstone of the Court, justices often influence one another through persuasion and debate. The process of writing majority opinions can be a negotiation, with justices crafting language that can secure a broader consensus.

Understanding these behind-the-scenes interactions reveals much about how the brethren inside the Supreme Court function as both individuals and a collective judicial body.

Exploring the brethren inside the Supreme Court uncovers a world where law, personality, and power intersect. Their decisions not only interpret the Constitution but also shape the lived experiences of millions, making the Court one of the most consequential institutions in American democracy. By appreciating their roles, challenges, and interactions, we gain a deeper understanding of the judiciary's place in our society.

Frequently Asked Questions

Who are the members referred to as 'the brethren inside the Supreme Court'?

The term 'the brethren' traditionally refers to the Justices of the Supreme Court, emphasizing their collegial relationship as members of the highest judicial body in the United States.

Why is the Supreme Court sometimes called 'the brethren'?

The Supreme Court is called 'the brethren' because the Justices often refer to each other by this term in private communications and opinions, reflecting a sense of fraternity and collegiality despite ideological differences.

How do the 'brethren' influence major legal decisions in the Supreme Court?

The 'brethren,' or Supreme Court Justices, influence major legal decisions through collective deliberation, majority opinions, and concurrences or dissents, shaping constitutional law and national policy.

What role does the Chief Justice play among the 'brethren'?

inside the Supreme Court?

The Chief Justice presides over the Supreme Court, leads oral arguments, assigns opinion writing when in the majority, and represents the Court in administrative matters, serving as a first among equals among the brethren.

How do ideological differences among the 'brethren' affect Supreme Court rulings?

Ideological differences among the brethren can lead to split decisions, dissenting opinions, and influence the direction of constitutional interpretation, reflecting varying judicial philosophies such as originalism or living constitutionalism.

Has the composition of the 'brethren' inside the Supreme Court changed recently?

Yes, the composition of the Supreme Court changes with new appointments by the President and Senate confirmation, which can shift the ideological balance and affect the Court's decisions.

What is the significance of the 'brethren's' confidentiality and deliberation process?

Confidentiality allows the brethren to discuss cases candidly without external pressure, fostering honest debate and thoughtful decision-making to uphold judicial independence.

How does public perception of the 'brethren' impact the Supreme Court?

Public perception can affect the legitimacy and authority of the Supreme Court; if the brethren are seen as impartial and fair, it strengthens trust in the judiciary, whereas perceptions of bias can lead to criticism and calls for reform.

Additional Resources

The Brethren Inside the Supreme Court: An In-Depth Examination of Judicial Dynamics

the brethren inside the supreme court have long been subjects of intrigue, speculation, and scholarly analysis. This term, often used to describe the collective justices who sit on the highest judicial body in the United States, encapsulates a complex web of interpersonal relationships, ideological alignments, and institutional traditions. Understanding who these brethren are, how they interact, and the impact they have on the court's rulings is essential for anyone seeking to grasp the nuances of American constitutional law and the judiciary's role in governance.

Exploring the Composition and Influence of the Supreme Court Brethren

The Supreme Court of the United States consists of nine justices: one Chief Justice and eight Associate Justices. Collectively, these individuals form the brethren inside the supreme court, a group that balances judicial independence with collective decision-making. Their role extends beyond mere legal interpretation; they shape national policy through landmark decisions affecting civil rights, federal authority, and societal norms.

The brethren's dynamics are influenced by diverse factors such as judicial philosophies (originalism, textualism, pragmatism), personal backgrounds, and the political context surrounding their appointments. Unlike other branches of government, Supreme Court justices serve lifetime appointments, creating a continuity that often spans decades. This longevity allows the brethren to develop institutional traditions and informal norms that govern court proceedings and deliberations.

Judicial Philosophies and Their Impact on Court Deliberations

One of the defining features of the brethren inside the supreme court is the diversity of judicial philosophies represented on the bench. These philosophies dictate how justices interpret the Constitution and statutory law, often serving as the lens through which cases are analyzed.

- **Originalism:** Advocated by several brethren members, this philosophy seeks to interpret the Constitution as it was understood at the time it was written. Justices who adhere to originalism often resist expansive interpretations of constitutional rights not explicitly mentioned in the text.
- **Textualism:** Closely related to originalism, textualists emphasize the plain meaning of legal texts. This approach focuses on the statutory language rather than legislative intent or broader social implications.
- **Living Constitution:** Some brethren members support the idea that the Constitution is a dynamic document, evolving with societal changes. This perspective allows for more flexible interpretations that can adapt to contemporary values and issues.

The interplay between these judicial philosophies shapes majority opinions, concurrences, and dissents, influencing legal precedents that impact American society for generations.

Interpersonal Relations and Decision-Making Processes

Beyond ideological differences, the brethren inside the supreme court operate within a collegial environment where interpersonal relationships can affect judicial outcomes. While public perception often views the justices as strictly adversarial, internal dynamics reveal a more nuanced picture of collaboration, negotiation, and mutual respect.

The court's decision-making process typically involves several stages: oral arguments, private conferences, opinion assignments, and circulation of draft opinions. During private conferences, the justices discuss cases candidly and vote on outcomes. The Chief Justice, as the presiding member, wields influence in managing discussion and assigning the writing of majority opinions, which can

strategically shape legal reasoning.

Informal interactions, such as social gatherings and shared clerks, also contribute to the brethren's cohesion. These moments foster trust and understanding, which can ease the resolution of contentious issues and encourage consensus-building despite ideological divides.

The Role of the Brethren in Shaping National Policy and Public Perception

The brethren inside the supreme court do not function in isolation; their decisions reverberate through the political system and society at large. High-profile cases involving civil liberties, healthcare, election laws, and executive power thrust the court into the public spotlight, with the brethren's rulings often steering the direction of national debates.

Influence on Legal Precedents and Policy

Supreme Court decisions set binding precedents that lower courts must follow, effectively creating new interpretations of law. The brethren's collective judgments have redefined constitutional protections in areas such as freedom of speech, equal protection, and due process. These rulings can either expand or restrict governmental authority and individual rights.

For instance, landmark cases like *Brown v. Board of Education*, *Roe v. Wade*, and *Obergefell v. Hodges* illustrate how the brethren's interpretations can catalyze social change or, conversely, provoke political backlash. The ideological composition of the brethren often predicts the trajectory of such decisions, making their internal dynamics critical to understanding legal outcomes.

Public Trust and Institutional Legitimacy

The legitimacy of the Supreme Court hinges on public trust, which the brethren collectively uphold through adherence to the rule of law and impartiality. However, increasing political polarization has challenged perceptions of the court's neutrality, with critics accusing the brethren of partisan bias.

Transparency in decision-making and clear, well-reasoned opinions contribute to maintaining institutional respect. The brethren's ability to balance judicial independence with responsiveness to societal values remains a delicate task, essential for preserving the court's authority.

Challenges and Controversies Within the Supreme Court Brethren

Despite their vital role, the brethren inside the supreme court face several challenges that complicate their functions and public image.

Ideological Polarization and Its Consequences

The growing ideological divide among justices can lead to sharply split decisions, sometimes decided by narrow margins. Such polarization risks undermining the court's image as an apolitical arbiter and may diminish the perceived legitimacy of its rulings.

Moreover, polarization can affect internal collegiality, making consensus more difficult to achieve. Although the brethren have historically managed ideological differences with professionalism, recent years have seen increased public scrutiny of their private deliberations and voting patterns.

Impact of Lifetime Appointments on Court Dynamics

Lifetime tenure, while designed to insulate justices from political pressures, also presents challenges for the brethren. Justices appointed by presidents from different political parties may serve concurrently for extended periods, resulting in a court that reflects shifting political ideologies over time.

This permanence can complicate the court's adaptability to evolving social norms and legal questions. Additionally, the timing of vacancies and appointments often becomes a politically charged issue, influencing the balance of the brethren and the court's long-term direction.

Transparency and Public Accountability

The Supreme Court operates with a high degree of confidentiality, with limited public access to internal deliberations. While this secrecy protects judicial independence, it also invites criticism regarding accountability.

Efforts by the brethren to increase transparency, such as releasing audio recordings of oral arguments or publishing detailed opinions, aim to bridge this gap. Still, the balance between openness and the need for confidential discourse remains a topic of ongoing debate.

Understanding the Brethren's Legacy Through Historical and Contemporary Lenses

The brethren inside the supreme court have evolved over centuries, reflecting broader changes in American society and governance. Historically, their role has expanded from a relatively obscure body to a central institution in shaping constitutional democracy.

Comparing past and present brethren reveals shifts in judicial priorities, diversity, and public engagement. Modern justices exhibit a wider range of demographic backgrounds and experiences, contributing to richer deliberations but also highlighting ongoing challenges related to representation and inclusivity.

As the court continues to confront complex legal issues in an increasingly polarized political environment, the brethren's capacity to function as a cohesive, principled body will remain critical to the judiciary's future.

The brethren inside the supreme court represent a unique blend of tradition, legal expertise, and ideological diversity. Their interactions, decisions, and institutional practices offer valuable insights into the functioning of one of the world's most influential courts. By examining these dynamics with an investigative and balanced perspective, observers can better appreciate the intricate forces shaping American jurisprudence today.

The Brethren Inside The Supreme Court

the brethren inside the supreme court: *The Brethren* Bob Woodward, Scott Armstrong, 2011-05-31 *The Brethren* is the first detailed behind-the-scenes account of the Supreme Court in action. Bob Woodward and Scott Armstrong have pierced its secrecy to give us an unprecedented view of the Chief and Associate Justices—maneuvering, arguing, politicking, compromising, and making decisions that affect every major area of American life.

the brethren inside the supreme court: The Brethren: Inside the Supreme Court by Bob Woodward and Scott Armstrong Harold Norris, 1979

the brethren inside the supreme court: *Steuerverfassungsrecht und gesetzgeberischer Gestaltungsraum* Iris Schomäcker, 2020-01-22 Das Bundesverfassungsgericht nimmt seit einiger Zeit vermehrt Einfluss auf die Gestaltung des deutschen Steuerrechts. Während viele diese Entwicklung als positiv erachten, sind gerade in letzter Zeit auch zunehmend kritische Stimmen zu vernehmen. Vor dem Hintergrund dieser Debatte über den Stand und die Zukunft des deutschen Steuerverfassungsrechts vergleicht Iris Schomäcker den Einfluss des Verfassungsrechts auf den gesetzgeberischen Gestaltungsraum in Deutschland und den USA, wo das Verfassungsrecht mit Blick auf das Steuerrecht deutlich zurückhaltender gehandhabt wird. Um die Auswirkungen des Steuerverfassungsrechts auf den gesetzgeberischen Gestaltungsraum greifbar zu machen, erstreckt sich die Analyse auch auf die Grundzüge des Einkommensteuerrechts beider Länder.

the brethren inside the supreme court: Analyse demokratischer Regierungssysteme Klemens H. Schrenk, Markus Soldner, 2009-11-18 Mit einem Beitrag von Bundestagspräsident Prof. Dr. Norbert Lammert

the brethren inside the supreme court: Das "Goldene Tor Michael Bass, 2020

the brethren inside the supreme court: Bundesverfassungsgericht und politische Theorie Robert Chr. van Ooyen, 2014-12-03 Das Buch skizziert einen Forschungsansatz, der im Unterschied zu eher machtanalytisch orientierten Zugängen auf die politisch-theoretischen Verständnisse und ideengeschichtlichen Rezeptionslinien von „Staat“, „Demokratie“, „Politik und Recht“, „Volk“, „Parlamentarismus“, „Föderalismus“, „Parteien“, „Europa“, „innere und äußere Sicherheit“, „Grundrechte“ und „Beamtentum“ abzielt. Es ist das Ergebnis der rund zehnjährigen Forschungen des Autors zur Verfassungsgerichtsbarkeit, insb. zum Bundesverfassungsgericht.

the brethren inside the supreme court: Das Regierungssystem der USA Winand Gellner, Martin Kleiber, 2012-10-25 Wie Amerika regiert wird Das Regierungssystem der USA ist durch ein besonderes Verhältnis von „checks and balances“ bestimmt. Die Autoren erläutern das Zusammenspiel im zentralen politischen Entscheidungssystem – Präsident, Kongress und Supreme Court – und zeichnen u.a. die Radikalisierung und Polarisierung des politischen Prozesses während der Präsidentschaft Barack Obamas nach.

the brethren inside the supreme court: *Regierungssystem der USA* Wolfgang Jäger, Christoph M. Haas, Wolfgang Welz, 2007-07-01 Der nunmehr in dritter Auflage erscheinende Band ist als eine wissenschaftlich-systematische Einführung in das Regierungssystem der USA konzipiert. Er macht die besonderen Bedingungen des innen- und außenpolitischen Prozesses in den Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika für seine Leserinnen und Leser verständlich. Im Vordergrund der Betrachtungen stehen die Verfassungsordnung und die politischen Institutionen sowie die sie tragenden und beeinflussenden Kräfte.

the brethren inside the supreme court: The Supreme Court Heather Lehr Wagner, Kay Cornelius, 2007 Discusses the history of the Supreme Court and examines cases that have shaped it, including slavery, segregation, and school prayer.

the brethren inside the supreme court: Courtwatchers Clare Cushman, 2011-10-16 In the first Supreme Court history told primarily through eyewitness accounts from Court insiders, Clare Cushman provides readers with a behind-the-scenes look at the people, practices, and traditions that have shaped an American institution for more than 200 years. Each chapter covers one general thematic topic and weaves a narrative from memoirs, letters, diaries, and newspaper accounts by the Justices, their spouses and children, court reporters, clerks, oral advocates, court staff, journalists, and other eyewitnesses. These accounts allow readers to feel as if they are squeezed into the packed courtroom in 1844 as silver-tongued orator Daniel Webster addresses the court; eavesdropping on an exasperated Oliver Wendell Holmes, Jr., in 1930 as he snaps at a clerk's critique of his draft opinion; or sharing a taxi with future Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr., in 2005 as he rushes home from the airport in anticipation of a phone call from President Bush offering him the nomination to the Supreme Court. This entertaining and enlightening tour of the Supreme Court's colorful personalities and inner workings will be of interest to all readers of American political and legal history.

the brethren inside the supreme court: Justice, Judocracy and Democracy in India Sudhanshu Ranjan, 2014-03-21 This book offers an innovative approach to studying 'judicial activism' in the Indian context in tracing its history and relevance since 1773. While discussing the varying roles of the judiciary, it delineates the boundaries of different organs of the State — judiciary, executive and legislature — and highlights the points where these boundaries have been breached, especially through judicial interventions in parliamentary affairs and their role in governance and policy. Including a fascinating range of sources such as legal cases, books, newspapers, periodicals, lectures, historical texts and records, the author presents the complex sides of the arguments persuasively, and contributes to new ways of understanding the functioning of the judiciary in India. This paperback edition, with a new Afterword, updates the debates around the raging questions facing the Indian judiciary. It will be of great interest to students and scholars of law, political science and history, as well as legal practitioners and the general reader.

the brethren inside the supreme court: The American Political Process Alan Grant, 2019-07-23 First published in 1997, this edition of *The American Political Process* examines both the formal and informal institutions of government and analyses how these bodies interact in the making of public policy in the United States in order to provide an understanding of contemporary American politics. This Sixth Edition has been thoroughly up-dated, extended and substantially revised to take account of important events such as the Republicans winning control of Congress in 1994 and the 1996 Presidential and Congressional elections. It looks at the political developments of the 1990s against the background of a long-established constitutional structure and a distinctively American political culture. Each chapter includes a variety of useful tables and diagrams as well as suggestions for further reading and there is an extensive glossary of terms in American politics which provides an easily accessible reference for the reader.

the brethren inside the supreme court: Judicial Dissent in European Constitutional Courts Katalin Kelemen, 2017-09-28 Dissent in courts has always existed. It is natural and healthy that judges disagree on legal issues of a certain importance and difficulty. The question is if it is reasonable to conceal dissent. Not every legal system allows judges to explain their disagreement to

the public in a separate opinion attached to the judgment of the court. Most constitutional courts do. This book presents a comparative analysis of the practice of judicial dissent in constitutional courts from the perspective of the civil law tradition. It discusses the theoretical background, presents the history of the institution and today's practice, thus laying down the basis for an accurate consideration of the phenomenon from a legal perspective.

the brethren inside the supreme court: The Chief Justice David J. Danelski, Artemus Ward, 2016-08-05 Scholars use the most advanced methods in judicial studies to examine the role of Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court

the brethren inside the supreme court: A Wild Justice: The Death and Resurrection of Capital Punishment in America Evan J. Mandery, 2013-08-19 New York Times Book Review Editor's Choice Drawing on never-before-published original source detail, the epic story of two of the most consequential, and largely forgotten, moments in Supreme Court history. For two hundred years, the constitutionality of capital punishment had been axiomatic. But in 1962, Justice Arthur Goldberg and his clerk Alan Dershowitz dared to suggest otherwise, launching an underfunded band of civil rights attorneys on a quixotic crusade. In 1972, in a most unlikely victory, the Supreme Court struck down Georgia's death penalty law in *Furman v. Georgia*. Though the decision had sharply divided the justices, nearly everyone, including the justices themselves, believed *Furman* would mean the end of executions in America. Instead, states responded with a swift and decisive showing of support for capital punishment. As anxiety about crime rose and public approval of the Supreme Court declined, the stage was set in 1976 for *Gregg v. Georgia*, in which the Court dramatically reversed direction. *A Wild Justice* is an extraordinary behind-the-scenes look at the Court, the justices, and the political complexities of one of the most racially charged and morally vexing issues of our time.

the brethren inside the supreme court: *The Ultimate Guide to the Top 100 Law Books* Navneet Singh, Introduction The law shapes societies, protects rights, and ensures justice. The best legal books provide insightful analysis, historical context, and practical applications that guide lawyers, students, and anyone interested in the legal system. This guide highlights 100 must-read law books covering legal theory, constitutional law, criminal law, civil rights, landmark cases, and legal practice. Whether you are a law student, a practicing attorney, or a curious reader, these books will provide valuable knowledge and deeper understanding.

the brethren inside the supreme court: *On Law and Policy in the European Court of Justice* H. Rasmussen, 2024-02-26

the brethren inside the supreme court: *Reports of Decisions in the Supreme Court of the United States* Samuel Freeman Miller, 1875

the brethren inside the supreme court: Judicial Process in America, 9th Edition Robert A. Carp, Ronald Stidham, Kenneth L. Manning, 2014 Known for shedding light on the link between the courts, public policy, and the political environment, the new ninth edition of *Judicial Process in America* provides a comprehensive overview of the American judiciary. Considering the courts from every level, the authors thoroughly cover judges, lawyers, litigants, and the variables at play in judicial decision making. This remarkably current revision will only solidify the book's position as the standard-bearer in the field.

the brethren inside the supreme court: Introduction to the Policy Process Birkland, 2015-05-18 Thoroughly revised, reorganized, updated, and expanded, this widely-used text sets the balance and fills the gap between theory and practice in public policy studies. In a clear, conversational style, the author conveys the best current thinking on the policy process with an emphasis on accessibility and synthesis rather than novelty or abstraction. A newly added chapter surveys the social, economic, and demographic trends that are transforming the policy environment.

Related to the brethren inside the supreme court

THE BBQ BRETHREN FORUMS The BBQ Brethren Forums. The Premiere BBQ Knowledge base on the Internet. Explore the ultimate BBQ community at BBQ Brethren! From mouthwatering

recipes to expert tips and

Q-talk - THE BBQ BRETHREN FORUMS *ON TOPIC ONLY* QUALITY ON TOPIC discussion of Backyard BBQ, grilling, equipment and outdoor cookin' . ** Other cooking techniques are welcomed for when your

Doctoring Ranch Style beans - THE BBQ BRETHREN FORUMS Anyone have a quick easy recipe to doctor these, or is it needed. I wasn't a bean eater before Keri C beans and want something different in my recipe holster

The 2 day Brisket Cook: New Method? - THE BBQ BRETHREN This is the second time I have done this and both times produced excellent brisket. I use a UDS and usually do a hot and fast brisket. The first two day brisket cook I cooked it hot

New Braunfels Smoker Value - THE BBQ BRETHREN FORUMS I found a used New Braunfels Smoker (I believe it's the Longhorn Deluxe series 20"x40") for \$450 (asking.) Owner indicated that it was about 10 years old. Does that seem

New Braunfels #1400 - THE BBQ BRETHREN FORUMS Anyone have any info or experience with this vertical smoker? Guy is asking \$60 for it. It looks pretty solid from the pictures and I've always been a fan of vertical smokers rather

Pork butt -- sliced, not pulled | THE BBQ BRETHREN FORUMS Pardon the James Bond Vodka Martini pun, but I have a question for the brethren. I love pork but, slow roasted or smoked. But it seems all I see on the forum is slow smoking of

Gas struts for smoker lids | THE BBQ BRETHREN FORUMS Has anyone used or had any luck with these types of gas spring struts using them to hold open your smoker door? I don't want to use it so much for lifting it as I do for holding it

Brethren Sales and Ventures - THE BBQ BRETHREN FORUMS Brethren Sales and Ventures A placed for our general membership to post personal items for sale (or trade) items. The ventures forums are for tenured members to

99-X Chicken! - THE BBQ BRETHREN FORUMS I love fried chicken. I know, it's not good for me and it can be fattening, but I love it. I ran across a fried chicken seasoning called 99-X. It is claimed that it is the original KFC recipe

Related Articles

- [10 positive effects of technology in communication](#)
- [communication skills in mental health nursing](#)
- [lynda la plante above suspicion](#)

[Back to Home](#)